

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,655	04/30/2001	Raul E. Ayala	GLO 2 0046-3	2722
75	590 11/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Timothy E. Nauman, Esq.			KEANEY, ELIZABETH MARIE	
Fay, Sharpe, Fa				
Minnich & McKee, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor			2882	
Cleveland, OH	44114-2518			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/845,655	AYALA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth Keaney	2882	p			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	: munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	ıly 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,11-17 and 19-22</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,11-17 and 19-22 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2002 is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No	age			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	. , , ,	ed.	•			
	·					
Attachment(s)	. .□	(575 446)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ate				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P		52)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3 June 2004 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6,8,1117, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 3 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant asserts that the teachings of Jansma (US Patent 5,838,100) are limited to fluorescent lamps. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Jansma teaches a light source which produces UV light emission comprising a UV reflecting layer and a phosphor layer. While the overall apparatus is the different, the function of the UV reflective layer is the same. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would recognize that the teaching of a UV reflecting layer to reflect unconverted UV rays back into the phosphor layer could be used within any light source that produces UV light emission. Accordingly, the teachings of Jansma appropriately modify Komoto et al. (JP 11-145519) to disclose the instant application.

Art Unit: 2882

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 is dependant upon a cancelled claim and is therefore considered indefinite. For the purposes of examination, claim 21 has been interpreted as dependant upon claim 19. The Examiner notes that should this interpretation be correct, the limitation "UV reflecting material containing layer" lacks antecedent basis in claim 19.

Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The limitations found within dependent claim 12 have been added to newly amended independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2882

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 19,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komoto et al. (JP 11-145519; hereinafter Komoto). The following text references are drawn to the English translation of Komoto.

Re claim 19: Komoto discloses, in figure 12 and throughout the disclosure, a white light emitting device comprising:

- an LED (900) of the formula In_JGa_JAl_KN, wherein I,J and K are each greater than or equal to zero, and I+J+K=1 (Detailed Description, paragraph 4, lines 1-2);
- a phosphor layer (FL); and
- an encapsulant layer (140) including a UV reflecting layer (RE1), and
 - wherein the encapsulant layer (140) allows at least a substantial portion of visible light to pass through (Detailed Description, paragraph 24, lines 7-10).

Re claim 21: Komoto discloses the UV reflecting material (RE1) to allow at least 90% of the visible light to pass through (Detailed Description, paragraph 1, line 5).

Re claim 22: Komoto discloses the encapsulant layer (140) allows at least 90% of visible light to pass through (Detailed Description, paragraph 1, line 5).

Art Unit: 2882

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6,8,11-13,16,17,and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komoto in view of Jansma (US Patent 5,602,444).

Re claims 1 and 12: Komoto discloses, in figure 19 and throughout the disclosure, a light source comprising:

- a light emitting component comprised of a semiconductor material (900);
- at least one phosphor material (FL); and
- at least one UV reflecting material (RE1),
 - o wherein the UV reflecting material (RE1) is disposed as a layer adjacent to the phosphor material (FL), the layer positioned outwardly from the phosphor material (RE1) in a direction of light emission from the light source (900);
 - wherein the UV reflecting material reflects at least a substantial portion of UV light emitted by the light emitting component and allows at least a substantial portion of visible light to pass through (Detailed Description, paragraph 24, lines 7-10).

However, Komoto fails to teach or fairly suggest the UV reflecting material comprising alumina.

Jansma discloses, in figure 1 and throughout the disclosure, a light source which produces UV light comprising:

- a light source (18);
- at least one phosphor material (16);
- at least one UV reflecting material (14);
 - o wherein the UV reflecting material (14) is disposed as a layer adjacent to the phosphor material (16), the layer positioned outwardly from the phosphor material (16) in a direction of light emission from the light source (18);
 - wherein the UV reflecting material (14) comprises alumina (column
 lines 35-36);
 - wherein the UV reflecting material reflects at least a substantial portion of UV light emitted by the light emitting component (column 3, lines 6-10) and allows at least a substantial portion of visible light to pass through (column 2, lines 55-57).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the alumina UV reflecting material of Jansma for the UV reflecting material of Komoto because it improves the reflectance of the UV light back into the adjacent phosphors while absorbing a minimal amount of UV light thereby

Art Unit: 2882

improving the phosphor utilization and the purity and brightness of the light produced (Jansma; column 2, lines 26-30 and 55-57).

Re claim 2: Komoto discloses, in figure 19 and throughout the disclosure, the light emitting component (900) comprises a light emitting diode or a laser diode (Detailed Description, paragraph 6, line 2).

Re claim 3: Komoto discloses the light emitting component emits light in at least one of the blue region and the UV region of the electromagnetic spectrum (Detailed Description, paragraph 6, line 3).

Re claim 4: Komoto discloses, in figure 19 and throughout the disclosure, the phosphor (FL) is excited by light emitted from the light emitting component (900).

Re claim 5: Komoto discloses, in figure 19 and throughout the disclosure, the phosphor material (FL) converts UV light to visible (Detailed Description, paragraph 1, lines 4-5).

Re claim 6: Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material reflects UV light into the phosphor material (column 2, lines 26-30).

Art Unit: 2882

Re claim 8: Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material reflects at least 90% of any UV light not converted to visible light by the phosphor material (column 3, lines 9).

Re claim 13: Komoto discloses, in figure 12 and throughout the disclosure, the UV reflecting material (RE1) being disposed as a layer adjacent a layer of a transparent epoxy material (140) and closer to the light emitting component (900) relative to the transparent epoxy material (140).

Re claim 16: Jansma discloses the reflecting material (14) to reflect light in the UV range, therefore Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material reflecting light in the range of about 350-400nm.

Re claim 17: Jansma discloses the phosphor material converts light reflected by the UV reflecting material to visible light (column 2, lines 26-30).

Re claim 20: Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material to have substantially not light-absorbing impurities (column 2, line 56).

However, Jansma fails to teach or fairly suggest a specific percentage of visible light passed through the layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a UV reflective layer which allows 90% of visible light to pass within the light emitting device disclosed by Komoto and Jansma because it

Art Unit: 2882

improves the efficiency of the device by improving the light emitted and reducing any detrimental radiation to the elements of the device.

Re claim 11: Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material comprises about 5-80%wt gamma alumina (column 2, line 47) and about 20-95%wt alpha alumina (column 2, line 50).

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komoto in view of Jansma (US Patent 5,838,100).

Re claim 14: Komoto shows all the limitations as shown above.

However, Komoto fails to teach or fairly suggest dispersing alumina UV reflecting material within a phosphor material containing layer.

Jansma discloses dispersing alumina UV reflecting material within a phosphor material containing layer (column 3, lines 23-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to disperse the UV reflecting material of Komoto within the phosphor layer because it improves the phosphor utilization and increasing the amount of light converted to visible light (Jansma; column 3, lines 28-30).

Re claim 15: Jansma discloses the UV reflecting material dispersed throughout the layer being not greater than about 25% percent by volume (column 2, lines 41-42).

Art Unit: 2882

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth Keaney whose telephone number is (571)272-2489. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (571)272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PAPERT EXAMINER

Page 10